Applications to set aside a statutory demand



Rory Goodson 8 May 2025











Statutory Demands

- Requirement for statutory demand IA 1986 s.268(1)(a)
 - D will be found to be unable to pay debts where demand has been served and not complied with/application made to set aside within 21 days (unless served outside of the jurisdiction IR 2016 r.10.1(10)
- Contents of a statutory demand set out IR 2016 r. 10.1
- Service requirements for statutory demands IR 2016
 r.10.2 must serve the demand personally "if practicable in the particular circumstances"









Set Aside Applications procedure

- IR 2016 r.10.4 sets out the requirements
 - Must be made within 18 days of service (r.10.4(2)) (unless served outside of the jurisdiction IR 2016 r.10.1(10))
 - Must include the information at r.10.4(3))
 - Time for compliance with demand ceases to run on date application is made (r.10.4(5))
 - Application must be supported by a witness statement, setting out information at r.10.4(6)
- No bankruptcy petition can be presented where application to set aside SD is outstanding (IA 1986 s.267(2)(d))
- Practice Direction: Insolvency Proceedings paragraphs 11.4.2 debtor can apply after the 18 days deadline for an extension of time to apply









Extensions of time

- PDIP para 11.4.2
 - Where seeking an extension of time WS in support should address reasons why extension sought
 - Confirm D's belief that no bankruptcy petition has been presented
 - Also include information at IR 2016 r.10.4(6) in support of the application to set aside
- Various factors at play in such an application some set out in Rankin v Dissington Lending Co Ltd [2021] EWHC 172 (Ch)
 - Purpose of the time limit providing certainty
 - Underlying merits of the set aside application
 - Reason for the extension being needed
 - Prejudice that may be caused to D if no extension granted
 - Prejudice that may be caused to C if extension is granted









Set Aside Applications -Hearings

- IR 2016 r.10.5 sets out provisions for the hearing
 - Court may dismiss application without a hearing "if satisfied that no sufficient cause is shown for it" (IR 2016 r.10.5(1))
 - Application dismissed time for compliance runs again (IR 2016 r.10.5(2))
 - Court will give 5 days' notice of hearing to D and C (IR 2016 r.10.5(3))
 - On first hearing court may decide application, or adjourn with further directions (IR 2016 r.10.5(4))









Grounds for Set Aside

- IR 2016 r.10.5(5) sets out four grounds on which the court may grant SA application:
 - "D appears to have counterclaim, set-off or cross demand which equals or exceeds the amount of the debt specified in the statutory demand" (a);
 - "the debt is disputed on grounds which appear to the court to be substantial" (b);
 - it appears that C holds security that would satisfy the debt (c); and
 - "the court is satisfied, on other grounds, that the demand ought to be set aside"









10.5(5)(a) – counterclaim, set-off or cross demand

- Relatively straightforward concept two points to note
 - Provision is only engaged where the value of potential counterclaim equals or exceeds the debt in the SD – court therefore should not set aside SD if cross-claim is less than the undisputed element of the SD debt, even if the difference between the two is less than the bankruptcy level (per Nugee J in Howell v Lerwick Commercial Mortgage Corp Ltd [2015] EWHC 1177 (Ch)); and
 - Previously had been suggested that a counterclaim or cross-demand would have to have "mutuality" with the debt in the SD – the extent of this link has now been clarified (King v Bar Mutual Indemnity Fund [2023] EWHC 1408 (Ch))









10.5(5)(b) – debt genuinely disputed on substantial grounds

- Well established threshold "genuine triable issue"
 - Per e.g. Collier v P & MJ Wright (Holdings) Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 1329 – this is the same threshold as applies to applications for summary judgment under CPR Part 24
- Approach to evidence
 - Court should not conduct a mini-trial BUT not bound to accept any assertion that is made – can reject evidence if it is inherently implausible or contradicted by contemporary documentation
 - Dispute must give rise to a defence to the debt claimed simple fact of there being a dispute on the evidence does not necessarily mean it must go to trial (Macpherson v Wise [2011] BPIR 472)









10.5(5)(c) - C holds security for the debt

- Where C holds security must provide the information required by IR 2016 r.10.1(9)
- Court may set aside if
 - C fails to provide the required information in respect of any security held; or
 - Court is satisfied that the value of the security equals or exceeds the full amount of the debt – valuation evidence in respect of the security will need to be filed
- C has an alternative means of enforcing the debt ought not therefore rely on the insolvency mechanisms









10.5(5)(d) -satisfied on other grounds

- Not a freestanding mechanism must be read in the context of the other grounds
- Broad provision general principle is "whether there are circumstances which would make it unjust for the statutory demand to give rise to insolvency consequences in the particular case" (Chan Sui v Appasamy [2005] EWHC 3519 (Ch))
- Examples where provision may be applicable:
 - SD is considered an abuse of process
 - Improper purpose C does not really want to pursue a BO, or where BO will be disadvantage of creditors (Maud v Aabar Block Sarl and Edgeworth Capital (Luxembourg) Sarl [2015] EWHC 1626 (Ch), at [29])









Practical Points

- Not just provisions of the IR 2016 to consider PDIP has various relevant requirements for applications to set aside a SD
- If an application to set aside a SD is unsuccessful, the order dismissing will include a provision allowing C to issue a bankruptcy petition
- Different threshold applies in setting aside a SD as to making a BO
- When making an application advisable to provide as much detail as possible









